In Vitro Effect of different Bioagents against Colletotrichum Truncatum Causing Pod Blight of Soybean

Chavan S.S.¹ and Rewale K. A.²

^{1,2}Department of Plant Pathology, Sau. K.S.K College of Agriculture, Beed, V.N.M.K.V., Parbhani E-mail: ¹smeetachavan4@gmail.com, ²smeetachavan4@gmail.com

Abstract—Colletotrichum truncatum, is the most common species recorded on soybean. It cause considerable damage by reducing plant stand, seed quality, seed germination, seedling vigour and yield. Six fungal antagonists viz., Trichoderma viride, T. harzianum, T. hamatum, T .longibrachiatum, T. koningii, T. virens and one bacterial antagonist Pseudomonas fluorescens were evaluated in vitro against C. truncatum, applying Dual Culture Technique. Of the six fungal antagonists tested, T. viride was found most effective and recorded least linear mycelial growth (22.66 mm) with highest mycelial inhibition (74.80%) of the test pathogen over untreated control (90.00 mm and 00.00%), followed by T. hamatum (col. dia. 23.33 mm and inhibition 74.07%), T. harzianum (col. dia. 23.50 mm and inhibition 73.89%), T. longibrachiatum (col.dia. 28.33 mm and inhibition 66.51%). The fungal antagonist T. virens was found least effective (col.dia. 32.33 mm and inhibition 64.06%). The bacterial antagonists P. fluorescens was also found fungistatic and recorded 32.16 mm and 64.25 per cent linear mycelial growth and inhibition, respectively.

Keywords: Colletotrichum truncatum, Trichoderma viride, fungistatic and P. fluorescens.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean is the world's foremost provider of protein and oil. In Maharashtra, the area production and productivity of soybean were 32.13 lakh hectare, 39.95 lakh metric tonnes and 1243 kg/ha, respectively [1]. Soybean growing major states in the country are Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh. Soybean plant health is a critical component of profitable soybean production. *Colletotrichum truncatum*, is the most common species recorded on soybean [11] and the crop soybean is susceptible to *C. truncatum* at all stages of development particularly from bloom to pod fill stage. It cause considerable damage by reducing plant stand, seed quality, seed germination, seedling vigor and yield [14]. Hence, *in vitro* evaluation of several bioagents against pod blight of soybean was carried to study the efficiency of these bioagents.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Six fungal antagonists viz., Trichoderma viride, T. harzianum, T. hamatum, T. longibrachiatum, T. koningii, T. virens and one bacterial antagonist Pseudomonas fluorescens were evaluated in vitro against C. truncatum, applying Dual Culture Technique given by Dennis and

| Details of the experiment: | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------|--|
| Design | : | CRD | |
| Replications | : | Three | |
| Treatments | : | Eight | |

| | | Fungal antagonists |
|----------------|---|--------------------|
| T_1 | : | Trichoderma viride |
| T_2 | : | T. harzianum |
| T_3 | : | T. hamatum |
| T_4 | : | T. longibrachiatum |
| T_5 | : | T. Koningii |
| T ₆ | : | T. virens |

| Bacterial antagonists | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| T_7 | : | Pseudomonas fluorescens | |
| T_8 | : | Control (untreated) | |

Webster, 1971[7]. Seven days old cultures of the test bioagents and test fungus (*C. truncatum*) grown on (PDA, NA) were used for the study. Discs (5 mm dia) of PDA along with culture growth of the test fungus and bioagents were cut out with sterilized cork borer. Then two culture discs, one each of the test fungus and bioagent were placed at equidistance and exactly opposite with each other on solidified PDA medium in Petri plates aseptically and plates were incubated at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. PDA plates inoculated only with culture disc of the test fungus were maintained as untreated control.

Observations on linear mycelial growth of the test fungus and bioagent were recorded at an interval of 24 hours and continued till untreated control plates were fully covered with mycelial growth of the test fungus. Per cent inhibition of the test fungus by the bioagents over untreated control was calculated by applying following formula [2]. Observations on sporulation were recorded at 10 days after incubation using Stereobinocular microscope.

| Per cent Growth Inhibition | Colony growth in control plate | - | Colony growth in Intersecting plate | x 100 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|-------|
| = | Colony growth in control plate | | | |

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experimental results (Table No.1 and Plate I) revealed that all the bioagents evaluated exhibited fungistatic activity against C. truncatum and significantly inhibited mycelial growth of the test pathogen over untreated control. Out of the six fungal antagonists tested, T. viride was found most effective and recorded least linear mycelial growth (22.66 mm) with highest mycelial inhibition (74.80%) of the test pathogen over untreated control (90.00 mm and 00.00%), followed by T. hamatum (col. dia. 23.33 mm and inhibition 74.07%), T. harzianum (col. dia. 23.50 mm and inhibition 73.89%), T. longibrachiatum (col.dia. 28.33 mm and inhibition 66.51%). The fungal antagonist T. virens was found least effective (col.dia. 32.33 mm and inhibition 64.06%). The bacterial antagonists P. fluorescens was also found fungistatic and recorded 32.16 mm and 64.25 per cent linear mycelial growth and inhibition, respectively. The bioagents T. viride, T. harzianum, T. virens, P. fluorescens were reported as effective antagonists against Colletotrichum species by several workers [3, 9, 6, 5, 12, 10, 8, 7 and 13].

| Treatments | Colony diameter* | % |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------|
| | (mm) | Inhibition |
| Trichoderma viride | 22.66 | 74.80 |
| Trichoderma harzianum | 23.50 | 73.89 |
| Trichoderma hamatum | 23.33 | 74.07 |
| Trichoderma | 24.83 | 72.40 |
| longibrachiatum | | |
| Trichoderma koningii | 28.33 | 66.51 |
| T.virens | 32.33 | 64.06 |
| P. fluorescens | 32.16 | 64.25 |
| Control | 90.00 | |
| S.E. + | 0.60 | 0.26 |
| C.D. (P=0.05) | 1.80 | 0.79 |

PLATE I



| T ₁ : T. viride | $T_2: T. harzianum$ |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| T ₃ : T. hamatum | $T_4: T. \ longibrachiatum$ |
| T ₅ : T. koningii | T ₆ : T. virens |
| T ₈ : P. fluorescens | T ₉ : Control |

In vitro effect of bioagents on growth and inhibition of C.truncatum

REFERENCES

- 1] Anonymous, (2012). The Soybean Processors Association of India, SOPA, Indore (MP) India.
- 2] Arora, D.K. and Upadhyay, R.K. (1978). Effect of fungal staling growth substances on colony interaction. *Pl. Soil.* **49:** 685-690.
- 3] Barros, ST., Oliveira, N.T. and Bastos, S.T.G. (1995). *Trichoderma* spp. in the biological control of *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*, causal agent of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) anthracnose. *Bulletin Mycologia.*, **10** (1/2): 5-11.
- 4] Dennis, K.L. and Webster, J. (1971). Antagonistic properties of species group of *Trichoderma* and hyphal interaction. Trans. *British Mycol. Soc.* 57: 363-396.
- 5] Devis, D., Beena, S., Salley, K. and Mathew (2003). *In-vitro* evaluation of chemicals, plant extracts and microbial antagonists against *Cercospora cocciniae*, the incitant of leaf spot disease of Ivy gourd. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.*, **33** (3): 474.
- 6] Ingle, Y.V., Ingle, R.W. and Jamdade, S.R. (2002). *In-vitro* studies on leaf spot of Turmeric caused by *C. capsici* (Syd.). *Pl. Dis. Res.*, **17** (1): 217.
- 7] Jadhav, S.N., Gadre, U.A., Kadam, J.J., Joshi, M.S. and Sawant, U.K. (2009). In vitro evaluation of bioagents against Colletotrichum gleosporioides causing leaf spot of clove. J. Pl. Dis. Sci., 4 (1): 104-106.
- 8] Jat, B.L., Gour, H.N. and Sharma, P. (2008). Efficacy of phytoextracts and bioagents against *Colletotrichum gloeosporiodes* causing banana fruit rot. *J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol.*, 38 (3): 635-638.
- 9] Jeyalakhmi, C. and Seetharaman, K. (1998). Evaluation of chilli 'genotypes against fruit rot disease incited by *C.capsici*. South Indian Horticulture. 46 (1 &2): 104-105.
- 10] Kaur, M., Sharma, O.P. and Sharma, P.N. (2006). *In vitro* effect of *Trichoderma* species on *C. capsici* causing fruit rot of chilli. *Indian Phytopath.*, **59** (2): 243-245.
- 11] Lenne, J.M. (1992). *Colletotrichum* disease of legumes. In : *Colletotrichum:* biology, pathology and control. (Eds.J.Bailey

and M.J. Jeger), Red Wood Press Ltd. Melksham, U.K. PP: 134-136.

- 12] Rao, C.H. and Narayana, Y.D. (2005). In vitro evaluation of fungicides, plant extracts and biocontrol agents against C. dematum (Pers. Ex. Fr.) Grove the causal organism of chickpea (Cicer arietenum L.) blight. In national symposium on crop disease management in dry land Agril. and 57th Annual meeting IPS, Jan. 12-14, 2005, MAU, Parbhani.
- 13] Singh, K. and Vishunaval K. (2010). Compatibility of bioagents with fungicides against anthracnose of chilli. J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol., 40 (2): 309-310.
- 14] Vyas, S.C.; Vyas, S. and Shroff, V.N. (1997). Diseases of soybean. SOPA Dig. 7: 15-33.